




Submittal Documents

Seismic Analysis of the Boiler SVF 500/600



August 22, 2023

For:
WEIL-McLAIN

Prepared By:
Sam Salissen, ME, PE, Ph.D.

Summary

The scope of this report is the seismic qualification, based on the structural analysis, of the boiler model SVF 500/600, under the seismic loads for the seismic zone 4 in the United States. The analyses are limited to the load path from the COG of the assembly to the floor and the interior parts of the boiler are not within the scope of this work.

The qualification is in accordance with the seismic design requirements of IBC 2018, ASCE 7-16 and AISC for the seismic zone 4, for non-structural components and based on the seismic parameters used in this report.

The structural analyses carried out on the base frame assembly and based on the safety factors reported in section 5.4, the strength requirements of AISC, is met in all the analyses performed in this report.

It is concluded that the design of the main frame and legs meets the design requirements of IBC 2018, ASCE 7-16 and ASME BPVC and AISC standards. This conclusion is contingent to the accuracy of the SolidWorks model and other input data provided by WEIL-McLAIN (WM) and used to build the FE models and set up the analyses (material, COG,...) appended in Appendix 1.

Revision History

Rev	Date	Scope of the revision	Created by
A	08/22/2023	First Issue	Sam Salissen

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	6
1.1	Scope	6
2	Assumptions and open issues	6
3	Requirements and Prerequisites.....	7
3.1	Stress criteria	7
3.2	Loads	7
4	Analyses' model	9
4.1	The extent of the model.....	9
4.2	Material data.....	10
5	Stress Analyses	11
5.1	Analysis of assembly: Load Case 1.....	11
5.2	Analysis of assembly: Load Case 2.....	14
5.3	Anchor bolt calculation	17
5.4	Results Evaluation.....	17
6	Conclusion	17
7	References	18

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1- Drawing with COG markup

APPENDIX 2- Anchor Bolt Calculation

1 Introduction

1.1 Scope

The scope of this report is the seismic qualification, based on the structural analysis, of the boiler, model SVF 500/600, under the seismic loads for the seismic zone 4 in the states. The analyses are limited to the load path from the COG of the assembly to the floor and the interior parts of the boiler are not within the scope of this work. The qualification is in accordance with the design requirements of IBC 2018, ASCE 7-16 and AISC.

2 Assumptions and open issues

In this chapter, assumptions and open issues are presented in two categories. The definition of each is presented below.

Open issues- Is defined as issues that must be solved, otherwise the analysis cannot be completed.

Key assumption- Is defined as assumptions that may have noticeable impact on the analysis results.

2.1 Open Issues

- No open issues exist.

2.2 Key Assumptions

No fabrication drawing of the parts and assembly were provided and the analyses are based on the SolidWorks model that is provided by WM and no responsibility of the accuracy of the model with respect to the actual assembly will be taken by the author of this report.

- The weight and the location of the center of the gravity of the boiler assembly are estimated and provided by WM, Appendix 1.
- It is assumed that the material of the base frame and the top plate are S235JR and SS316L, respectively.
- It is assumed that the welds have at least the same strength as the base material (Weld strength $F_{EXX} = 70 \text{ ksi} > 54 \text{ ksi}$ for base material) based on ASME allowable stress in welds under shear and tension is $0.3 \times \text{tensile strength} = 21000 \text{ psi}$. In this case it is lower than the allowable stress of the in the members (AISC).


3 Requirements and Prerequisites

3.1 Stress criteria

The seismic loads are calculated based on the IBC 2018 code. The detail of the used parameters and the calculations are as follows. Seismic analyses are performed (using FEM) based on LRFD approach of the AISC 14 edition & ASCE 7-16 for the steel parts and LRFD for the anchorage calculations.

3.2 Loads

The following input is used for the wet weight of the boiler SVF 500/600.



Two load cases are considered in this report, the analyses are based on the worst load combinations specified in ASCE 7-16. The following parameters are used in calculation of the seismic loads as follows:

Load case 1: Used for the analyses of the steel parts

CAEP 14271 Jeffery Rd., Irvine, CA 90032 PH (949) 923 9073 FX (949) 264 7184 www.caepiping.com "CALL US - TO SET THINGS RIGHT"		JOB NAME: WEST VISALIA		SEISMIC CALCULATION WORKSHEET BUILDING CODE: IBC-2018	
		CUSTOMER: WEIL-MCLAIN		SEISMIC DESIGN: $S_{ds} = 2$ BLDG. ELEVATION: $h = 40$ ft / EQUIP. LOCATION: $z = 40$ ft	
EQUIPMENT TAG:		DATE: 8/18/2023 PRP. BY.: CAE PIPING JOB#:		$I_p = 1$ $a_p = 1$ $R_p = 2.5$ $\Omega_o = 2.0$ ** Assume worst case location a_p, R_p, Ω_o per ASCE 7-10	
EQUIPMENT Information: W_p = max. operating weight = 660 lbs.		APPLIED SEISMIC FORCE/ CALCULATIONS: $F_p / W_p = (0.4 \times a_p \times S_{ds} \times (1 + (2 \times (z / h))) / (R_p / I_p)) = 0.96$ $F_p / W_p = 0.96g$ F_{ph} = Applied Lateral Seismic Force = 1.0 F_{pv} = Vertical component of seismic force = 1.0 F_v = Vertical total load = $F_{pv} - 0.5 \times W_p = -330$ lbs.		ANCHORAGE TO CONCRETE ASCE 7-16 (0.9 DL + 1.00 E)	

Load case 2: Used for the analyses of the steel parts

CAEP 14271 Jeffery Rd., Irvine, CA 90032 PH (949) 923 9073 FX (949) 264 7184 www.caepiping.com "CALL US - TO SET THINGS RIGHT"		JOB NAME: WEST VISALIA		SEISMIC CALCULATION WORKSHEET BUILDING CODE: IBC-2012 / 2015	
		CUSTOMER: WEIL-MCLAIN		SEISMIC DESIGN: $S_{ds} = 2$ BLDG. ELEVATION: $h = 40$ ft / EQUIP. LOCATION: $z = 40$ ft	
EQUIPMENT TAG:		DATE: 8/18/2023 PRP. BY.: CAE PIPING JOB#:		$I_p = 1$ $a_p = 1$ $R_p = 2.5$ $\Omega_o = 2.0$ ** Assume worst case location a_p, R_p, Ω_o per ASCE 7-10	
EQUIPMENT Information: W_p = max. operating weight = 660 lbs.		APPLIED SEISMIC FORCE/ CALCULATIONS: $F_p / W_p = (0.4 \times a_p \times S_{ds} \times (1 + (2 \times (z / h))) / (R_p / I_p)) = 0.96$ $F_p / W_p = 0.96g$ F_{ph} = Applied Lateral Seismic Force = 1.0 F_{pv} = Vertical component of seismic force = 1.0 F_v = Vertical total load = $F_{pv} - 1.2 \times W_p = -528$ lbs.		ANCHORAGE TO CONCRETE ASCE 7-16 (1.2 DL + 1.00 E)	

The seismic (& dead weights) loads are applied at the location of the center of the gravity of boiler's assembly, using rigid elements, see Fig. 1.




Figure 1- Loads acting on the boiler structure


Table 1- Load Summary

load Case #	Horizontal Seismic Force FP [lbf]	Vertical Seismic Force FPV [lbf]	Total vertical Force FV [lbf]
	Fz		
1	634	264	-330
2	634	264	-528

4 Analyses' model

4.1 The extent of the model

The FE model is built based on the SolidWorks model of the boiler, provided by WM. However, just the (seismic) loads bearing parts are used to build the FE- model. Flexible joint/ contact elements are used to join the parts, simulating the bolts and welds, see Fig. 2.






Figure 2- FE model of the boiler and joint/contact definitions

4.2 Material data

The material used in the construction of the boiler is governed under the internationally recognized ANSI, ASTM standards for solid shapes and the AWS standards for welding wire. The specific alloys of steel bar, tube, plate, round and channel used in the construction include **S235JR** Carbon Steel and listed below.

S235JR Carbon Steel: $E=2.9E+7$ psi, $S_Y=34,000$ psi

5 Stress Analyses

5.1 Analysis of assembly: Load Case 1

In this load case, the lateral seismic load, calculated in Section 3.2, is applied in the direction of +X-Axis, as shown in Fig. 3. All dimensions, loads and stresses are in inch, lbf and psi, respectively.




Fig. 3 – Loading case 1- Loading and boundary conditions

Maximum peak stress (Bending+ membrane+ stress concentration stresses) and shear stress of 30,610psi and 16,148psi are computed in the FE analysis Figs.7 and 8, which meets the requirements of AISC($S_y=34\text{ksi}$). The peak stresses in the parts, Fig. 7, are local stresses and the linearized stresses through the thickness of the part at the location where the highest stress is occurred, is much less than the values reported here.




Fig. 4 – Maximum peak and shear stresses in load case 1




Fig. 5 – Maximum peak stresses in the parts

5.2 Analysis of assembly: Load Case 2

In this load case, the lateral seismic load, calculated in Section 3.2, is applied in the direction of -X-Axis, as shown in Fig. 6. All dimensions, loads and stresses are in inch, lbf and psi, respectively.




Fig. 6 – Load case 2- Loading and boundary conditions

Maximum peak stress (Bending+ membrane+ stress concentration stresses) and shear stress of 30,516 psi and 16,457 psi are computed in the FE analysis Figs.7 and 8, which meets the requirements of AISC($S_y=34\text{ksi}$). The peak stresses in the parts, Fig. 7, are local stresses and the linearized stresses through the thickness of the part at the location where the highest stress is occurred, is much less than the values reported here.




Fig. 7 – Maximum peak and shear stresses in load case 2




Fig. 8 – Maximum peak stresses in the parts

5.3 Anchor bolt calculation

Hilti KBZ anchor bolts, 3/8" (2 3/4" embedment), are used to anchor the base plates to the floor. The maximum reaction loads at the location of the anchor bolts are calculated below. The detail of the anchor bolt calcs are provided in Appendix 3. The minimum concrete thickness of 5" and PSI rating of 3.000 psi are considered in the analyses).

CAEP 14271 Jeffery Rd., Irvine, CA 90032 PH (949) 923 9073 FX (949) 264 7184 www.caepiping.com		CLIENT NAME: WEIL-MCLAIN		SEISMIC DESIGN S_{as} = 2 I_p = 1 a_p = 1 R_p = 2.5 Ω_o = 2.0 <small>a_p, R_p, Ω_o (per ASCE 7-10)</small>		BLDG. ELEVATION / EQUIP. LOCATION h = 24 ft. z = 24 ft. ** Assume worst case location.		BUILDING CODE IBC-2018 LOAD COMBINATION ASCE 7-16 (0.9 DL + 2.0 E)	
DATE: 8/19/2023 PRP. BY.: S.S. JOB #:		EQUIPMENT TAG:							


EQUIPMENT/MOUNTING LOCATIONS: 		APPLIED SEISMIC FORCE/ CALCULATIONS: $F_p / W_p = 0.96g$ F_{ph} = Applied Lateral Seismic Force = $2.00 \times 0.96g \times W_p = 1268$ lbs. F_{pv} = Vertical component of seismic force = $1.0 \times 0.2 \times S_{as} \times W_p = 264$ lbs.	
CALCULATE LOADS Calculate pullout load due to overturning (worst case per mounting point) M_{OT} = Overturning moment = $(F_{ph} \times Hcg + F_{pv} \times D/2) = 33680$ lb.-in. M_R = Resisting moment = $(0.9 \times W_p \times F_{pv}) \times (D/2) = 5940$ lb.-in. T_{net} = Pullout load/ mtg. pt. = $(M_{OT} - M_R) / (R \times D) = 462.333333$ lbs. <<<---Tension demand Calculate shear load per anchor point V_{net} = Shear load/ mtg. pt. = $W_p / N = 82.5$ lbs.		EQUIPMENT DATA: W_p = max. operating weight = 660 lbs. L = mounting length = 24 in. D = mounting width = 15 in. H_{cg} = c.o.g. = 25 in. R = Anchor bolts qty. along length = 4 Q = Anchor bolts qty. along width = 2 N = total Anchor bolts qty. = 8	

Fig. 18 – Maximum reaction loads in the anchor bolts

5.4 Results Evaluation

Minimum required strength specified in ASCE (LRFD design approach) is obtained in the analyses of the assembly carried out in sections 5.1 to 5.2. The peak stresses reported in section 5 are the local stresses and the average stress through the thickness of the members are much lower and that can be shown by stress linearization through the thickness. However, since even the maximum local peak stresses don't exceed the allowable values, the stress linearization work is skipped here.

6 Conclusion

Seismic analysis of the boilers SVF 500/600 is carried out in this report and based on the safety factors reported in section 5.4, minimum required strength factor is obtained in all the analyses performed in this report.

It is concluded that the design of the structure of the boilers SVF 2000 and SVF 1500 meets the strength requirements of AISC, ASCE7-16 and IBC 2018 standards


7 References

[1]- IBC 2012.

[2]- AISC 14th Edition.

[3]- ASCE 7-10.

APPENDIX 1- Drawing with COG markup



COG WITH WATER BODY

Appendix 2 -Anchor Bolt Calculation

Specifier's comments:
1 Input data
Anchor type and diameter:
Kwik Bolt TZ - CS 3/8 (2 3/4)


Effective embedment depth:

 $h_{ef,act} = 2.750 \text{ in.}$, $h_{nom} = 3.063 \text{ in.}$

Material:

Carbon Steel

Evaluation Service Report:

ESR-1917

Issued | Valid:

6/1/2016 | 5/1/2017

Proof:

Design method ACI 318-14 / Mech.

Stand-off installation:

 $e_b = 0.000 \text{ in.}$ (no stand-off); $t = 0.134 \text{ in.}$

Anchor plate:

 $l_x \times l_y \times t = 3.000 \text{ in.} \times 4.000 \text{ in.} \times 0.134 \text{ in.}$; (Recommended plate thickness: not calculated)

Profile:

no profile

Base material:

 cracked concrete, 3000, $f'_c = 3000 \text{ psi}$; $h = 5.000 \text{ in.}$
Installation:
hammer drilled hole, Installation condition: Dry

Reinforcement:


tension: condition B, shear: condition B; no supplemental splitting reinforcement present

edge reinforcement: none or < No. 4 bar

Seismic loads (cat. C, D, E, or F)

Tension load: yes (17.2.3.4.3 (d))

Shear load: yes (17.2.3.5.3 (c))

Geometry [in.] & Loading [lb, in.kip]



2 Load case/Resulting anchor forces

Load case: Design loads

Anchor reactions [lb]

Tension force: (+Tension, -Compression)

Anchor	Tension force	Shear force	Shear force x	Shear force y
1	462	117	83	83
2	462	117	83	83

max. concrete compressive strain: - [‰]
max. concrete compressive stress: - [psi]
resulting tension force in (x/y)=(0.000/0.000): 924 [lb]
resulting compression force in (x/y)=(0.000/0.000): 0 [lb]


3 Tension load

	Load N_{ua} [lb]	Capacity ϕN_n [lb]	Utilization $\beta_N = N_{ua}/\phi N_n$	Status
Steel Strength*	462	4875	10	OK
Pullout Strength*	462	1685	28	OK
Concrete Breakout Strength**	924	2594	36	OK

* anchor having the highest loading **anchor group (anchors in tension)

3.1 Steel Strength

 N_{sa} = ESR value refer to ICC-ES ESR-1917
 $\phi N_{sa} \geq N_{ua}$ ACI 318-14 Table 17.3.1.1

Variables

$A_{se,N}$ [in. ²]	f_{uta} [psi]
0.05	125000

Calculations

N_{sa} [lb]
6500

Results

N_{sa} [lb]	ϕ_{steel}	$\phi_{nonductile}$	ϕN_{sa} [lb]	N_{ua} [lb]
6500	0.750	1.000	4875	462

www.hilti.us

Company:

Specifier:

Address:

Phone | Fax:

E-Mail:

Page:

Project:

Sub-Project | Pos. No.:

Date:

3

SVF 500/600

8/19/2023

3.2 Pullout Strength

$$N_{pn,f_c} = N_{p,2500} \lambda_a \sqrt{\frac{f_c}{2500}} \quad \text{refer to ICC-ES ESR-1917}$$

$$\phi N_{pn,f_c} \geq N_{ua} \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Table 17.3.1.1}$$

Variables

f_c [psi]	λ_a	$N_{p,2500}$ [lb]
3000	1.000	3155

Calculations

$$\sqrt{\frac{f_c}{2500}}$$

1.095

Results

N_{pn,f_c} [lb]	ϕ concrete	ϕ seismic	ϕ nonductile	$\phi N_{pn,f_c}$ [lb]	N_{ua} [lb]
3456	0.650	0.750	1.000	1685	462

3.3 Concrete Breakout Strength

$$N_{cbg} = \left(\frac{A_{Nc}}{A_{Nc0}} \right) \psi_{ec,N} \psi_{ed,N} \psi_{c,N} \psi_{cp,N} N_b \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.4.2.1b)}$$

$$\phi N_{cbg} \geq N_{ua} \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Table 17.3.1.1}$$

$$A_{Nc} \text{ see ACI 318-14, Section 17.4.2.1, Fig. R 17.4.2.1(b)}$$

$$A_{Nc0} = 9 h_{ef}^2 \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.4.2.1c)}$$

$$\psi_{ec,N} = \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{2 e_N}{3 h_{ef}}} \right) \leq 1.0 \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.4.2.4)}$$

$$\psi_{ed,N} = 0.7 + 0.3 \left(\frac{c_{a,min}}{1.5 h_{ef}} \right) \leq 1.0 \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.4.2.5b)}$$

$$\psi_{cp,N} = \text{MAX} \left(\frac{c_{a,min}}{c_{ac}}, \frac{1.5 h_{ef}}{c_{ac}} \right) \leq 1.0 \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.4.2.7b)}$$

$$N_b = k_c \lambda_a \sqrt{f_c} h_{ef}^{1.5} \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.4.2.2a)}$$

Variables

h_{ef} [in.]	$e_{c1,N}$ [in.]	$e_{c2,N}$ [in.]	$c_{a,min}$ [in.]	$\psi_{c,N}$
2.667	0.000	0.000	4.000	1.000

c_{ac} [in.]	k_c	λ_a	f_c [psi]
4.125	17	1.000	3000

Calculations

A_{Nc} [in. ²]	A_{Nc0} [in. ²]	$\psi_{ec1,N}$	$\psi_{ec2,N}$	$\psi_{ed,N}$	$\psi_{cp,N}$	N_b [lb]
84.00	64.00	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	4055

Results

N_{cbg} [lb]	ϕ concrete	ϕ seismic	ϕ nonductile	ϕN_{cbg} [lb]	N_{ua} [lb]
5322	0.650	0.750	1.000	2594	924

Company:
 Specifier:
 Address:
 Phone | Fax: |
 E-Mail:

Page: 4
 Project: SVF 500/600
 Sub-Project | Pos. No.:
 Date: 8/19/2023

4 Shear load

	Load V_{ua} [lb]	Capacity ϕV_n [lb]	Utilization $\beta_v = V_{ua}/\phi V_n$	Status
Steel Strength*	117	1466	9	OK
Steel failure (with lever arm)*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Pryout Strength**	235	7451	4	OK
Concrete edge failure in direction y+**	235	1120	21	OK

* anchor having the highest loading **anchor group (relevant anchors)

4.1 Steel Strength

$V_{sa,eq}$ = ESR value refer to ICC-ES ESR-1917
 $\phi V_{steel} \geq V_{ua}$ ACI 318-14 Table 17.3.1.1

Variables

$A_{se,V}$ [in. ²]	f_{uta} [psi]
0.05	125000

Calculations

$V_{sa,eq}$ [lb]
2255

Results

$V_{sa,eq}$ [lb]	ϕ_{steel}	$\phi_{nonductile}$	ϕV_{sa} [lb]	V_{ua} [lb]
2255	0.650	1.000	1466	117

4.2 Pryout Strength

$$V_{cpg} = k_{cp} \left[\left(\frac{A_{Nc}}{A_{Nc0}} \right) \psi_{ec,N} \psi_{ed,N} \psi_{cp,N} N_b \right] \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.5.3.1b)}$$

$$\phi V_{cpg} \geq V_{ua} \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Table 17.3.1.1}$$

$$A_{Nc} \text{ see ACI 318-14, Section 17.4.2.1, Fig. R 17.4.2.1(b)}$$

$$A_{Nc0} = 9 h_{ef}^2 \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.4.2.1c)}$$

$$\psi_{ec,N} = \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{2 e_N}{3 h_{ef}}} \right) \leq 1.0 \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.4.2.4)}$$

$$\psi_{ed,N} = 0.7 + 0.3 \left(\frac{c_{a,min}}{1.5 h_{ef}} \right) \leq 1.0 \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.4.2.5b)}$$

$$\psi_{cp,N} = \text{MAX} \left(\frac{c_{a,min}}{c_{ac}}, \frac{1.5 h_{ef}}{c_{ac}} \right) \leq 1.0 \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.4.2.7b)}$$

$$N_b = k_c \lambda_a \sqrt{f_c} h_{ef}^{1.5} \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.4.2.2a)}$$

Variables

k_{cp}	h_{ef} [in.]	$e_{c1,N}$ [in.]	$e_{c2,N}$ [in.]	$c_{a,min}$ [in.]
2	2.667	0.000	0.000	4.000

$\psi_{c,N}$	c_{ac} [in.]	k_c	λ_a	f_c [psi]
1.000	4.125	17	1.000	3000

Calculations

A_{Nc} [in. ²]	A_{Nc0} [in. ²]	$\psi_{ec1,N}$	$\psi_{ec2,N}$	$\psi_{ed,N}$	$\psi_{cp,N}$	N_b [lb]
84.00	64.00	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	4055

Results

V_{cpg} [lb]	$\phi_{concrete}$	$\phi_{seismic}$	$\phi_{nonductile}$	ϕV_{cpg} [lb]	V_{ua} [lb]
10644	0.700	1.000	1.000	7451	235

4.3 Concrete edge failure in direction y+

$$V_{cbg} = \left(\frac{A_{Vc}}{A_{Vc0}} \right) \psi_{ec,V} \psi_{ed,V} \psi_{c,V} \psi_{h,V} \psi_{parallel,V} V_b \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.5.2.1b)}$$

$$\phi V_{cbg} \geq V_{ua} \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Table 17.3.1.1}$$

$$A_{Vc} \text{ see ACI 318-14, Section 17.5.2.1, Fig. R 17.5.2.1(b)}$$

$$A_{Vc0} = 4.5 c_{a1}^2 \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.5.2.1c)}$$

$$\psi_{ec,V} = \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{2e_v}{3c_{a1}}} \right) \leq 1.0 \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.5.2.5)}$$

$$\psi_{ed,V} = 0.7 + 0.3 \left(\frac{c_{a2}}{1.5c_{a1}} \right) \leq 1.0 \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.5.2.6b)}$$

$$\psi_{h,V} = \sqrt{\frac{1.5c_{a1}}{h_a}} \geq 1.0 \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.5.2.8)}$$

$$V_b = \left(7 \left(\frac{l_e}{d_a} \right)^{0.2} \sqrt{d_a} \right) \lambda_a \sqrt{f'_c} c_{a1}^{1.5} \quad \text{ACI 318-14 Eq. (17.5.2.2a)}$$

Variables

c_{a1} [in.]	c_{a2} [in.]	e_{cV} [in.]	$\psi_{c,V}$	h_a [in.]
3.333	4.000	0.000	1.000	5.000
l_e [in.]	λ_a	d_a [in.]	f'_c [psi]	$\psi_{parallel,V}$
2.750	1.000	0.375	3000	1.000

Calculations

A_{Vc} [in. ²]	A_{Vc0} [in. ²]	$\psi_{ec,V}$	$\psi_{ed,V}$	$\psi_{h,V}$	V_b [lb]
40.00	50.00	1.000	0.940	1.000	2128

Results

V_{cbg} [lb]	$\phi_{concrete}$	$\phi_{seismic}$	$\phi_{nonductile}$	ϕV_{cbg} [lb]	V_{ua} [lb]
1601	0.700	1.000	1.000	1120	235

5 Combined tension and shear loads

β_N	β_V	ζ	Utilization $\beta_{N,V}$ [%]	Status
0.356	0.210	5/3	26	OK

$$\beta_{NV} = \beta_N^\zeta + \beta_V^\zeta \leq 1$$

6 Warnings

- Load re-distributions on the anchors due to elastic deformations of the anchor plate are not considered. The anchor plate is assumed to be sufficiently stiff, in order not to be deformed when subjected to the loading! Input data and results must be checked for agreement with the existing conditions and for plausibility!
- Condition A applies when supplementary reinforcement is used. The Φ factor is increased for non-steel Design Strengths except Pullout Strength and Pryout strength. Condition B applies when supplementary reinforcement is not used and for Pullout Strength and Pryout Strength. Refer to your local standard.
- Refer to the manufacturer's product literature for cleaning and installation instructions.
- Checking the transfer of loads into the base material and the shear resistance are required in accordance with ACI 318 or the relevant standard!
- An anchor design approach for structures assigned to Seismic Design Category C, D, E or F is given in ACI 318-14, Chapter 17, Section 17.2.3.4.3 (a) that requires the governing design strength of an anchor or group of anchors be limited by ductile steel failure. If this is NOT the case, the connection design (tension) shall satisfy the provisions of Section 17.2.3.4.3 (b), Section 17.2.3.4.3 (c), or Section 17.2.3.4.3 (d). The connection design (shear) shall satisfy the provisions of Section 17.2.3.5.3 (a), Section 17.2.3.5.3 (b), or Section 17.2.3.5.3 (c).
- Hilti post-installed anchors shall be installed in accordance with the Hilti Manufacturer's Printed Installation Instructions (MPII). Reference ACI 318-14, Section 17.8.1.



www.hilti.us

Profis Anchor 2.7.1

Company:

Specifier:

Address:

Phone | Fax:

E-Mail:

|

Page:

Project:

Sub-Project | Pos. No.:

Date:

6

SVF 500/600

8/19/2023

Fastening meets the design criteria!


7 Installation data

Anchor plate, steel: -
 Profile: no profile
 Hole diameter in the fixture: $d_f = 0.438$ in.
 Plate thickness (input): 0.134 in.
 Recommended plate thickness: not calculated
 Drilling method: Hammer drilled
 Cleaning: Manual cleaning of the drilled hole according to instructions for use is required.

Anchor type and diameter: Kwik Bolt TZ - CS 3/8 (2 3/4)
 Installation torque: 0.300 in.kip
 Hole diameter in the base material: 0.375 in.
 Hole depth in the base material: 3.375 in.
 Minimum thickness of the base material: 5.000 in.

7.1 Recommended accessories

Drilling	Cleaning	Setting
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suitable Rotary Hammer Properly sized drill bit 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Manual blow-out pump 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Torque wrench Hammer



Coordinates Anchor in.

Anchor	x	y	C-x	C+y	C-y	C+y
1	0.000	-1.250	4.000	4.000	4.000	6.500
2	0.000	1.250	4.000	4.000	6.500	4.000

Company:
Specifier:
Address:
Phone | Fax: |
E-Mail:

Page: 8
Project: SVF 500/600
Sub-Project | Pos. No.:
Date: 8/19/2023

8 Remarks; Your Cooperation Duties

- Any and all information and data contained in the Software concern solely the use of Hilti products and are based on the principles, formulas and security regulations in accordance with Hilti's technical directions and operating, mounting and assembly instructions, etc., that must be strictly complied with by the user. All figures contained therein are average figures, and therefore use-specific tests are to be conducted prior to using the relevant Hilti product. The results of the calculations carried out by means of the Software are based essentially on the data you put in. Therefore, you bear the sole responsibility for the absence of errors, the completeness and the relevance of the data to be put in by you. Moreover, you bear sole responsibility for having the results of the calculation checked and cleared by an expert, particularly with regard to compliance with applicable norms and permits, prior to using them for your specific facility. The Software serves only as an aid to interpret norms and permits without any guarantee as to the absence of errors, the correctness and the relevance of the results or suitability for a specific application.
- You must take all necessary and reasonable steps to prevent or limit damage caused by the Software. In particular, you must arrange for the regular backup of programs and data and, if applicable, carry out the updates of the Software offered by Hilti on a regular basis. If you do not use the AutoUpdate function of the Software, you must ensure that you are using the current and thus up-to-date version of the Software in each case by carrying out manual updates via the Hilti Website. Hilti will not be liable for consequences, such as the recovery of lost or damaged data or programs, arising from a culpable breach of duty by you.